

| | |
|--|---|
| Guilford County Schools Purchasing Dept. 501 W. Washington St. Greensboro, NC 27401 | ADDENDUM #1 |
| | RFP#6131 |
| | Date: July 10, 2019 |
| | Commodity: Construction Project Management System |
| | Using Agency Name: Guilford County Schools |

RFP #6131 Questions & Answers

Q1. Please provide Guilford County Schools (GCS) projected annual average capital spend over the next three years.

A1. It depends on the amount of the bond we will try to get passed.

Q2. Please provide the expected number of users (internal, external) expected for new Construction Project Management System (CPMS).

A2. Approximately 20-30.

Q3. Should Vendors include the migration of GCS existing projects (Part 5 Data Import) in the proposed Cost? I

A3. Yes

Q4. Will the GCS staff be able to assist the Vendor with data migration/import if the vendor provides tools and training?
Po

A4. Possibly

Q5. Describe the systems and sources of the existing data. (systems, file types, etc.)

A5. Oracle Primavera

Q6. What is the number of Projects to migrate?

A6. 18

Q7. Name of each module or element to migrate and from which system(s)

A7. Budget codes, contracts, Change Order Requests, Change Orders, Design Change Orders, Invoices, pay apps budget transfers, etc.

Q8. What is the average number of instances to migrate per each project?

A8. Unknown

Q9. What are the Average Megabytes of document files stored for each project?

A9 Minimal at the moment

Q10. Is GCS able to provide an example export template of the fields/data required?

A10. No.

Q11. Should vendors include Integration with Financial Systems in the proposed Cost?

A11. Yes

Q12. What is the name of GCS' Financial System?

A12. AS400 SARTOX presently.

Q13. What type of integration is expected?

A13. Automated

Q14. Please identify the financial system data objectives to be included in the integration as well as integration direction/

A14. Nothing at this time.

Q15. Is integration with any other GCS systems required and if so, please provide the same information listed above for the Financial System?

A15. Not at this time.

Q16. Please provide more information about the business processes or automated functions related with CPM that GCS performs in the following areas (accounts receivable, accounts payable work order entry, payroll)

A16. We do not automate anything right now.

Q17. What is the financial system that would integrate with CPM?

A17. Unknown right now.

Q18. Should the Financial integration estimate/schedule be included in the proposal?

A18. No.

Q19. Define accounts receivable; is it fund source management?

A19. No

Q20. Define work order entry.

A20. Possible integration with current work order system((Archibus).

Q21. Define payroll; would the CPMS be tracking timesheets and/or running payroll?

A21. Possibly would be nice, not currently tracking it.

Q22. Please also provide scope of integration and what specific information and/or datapoints need to connect the CPMS to Financial System(s).

A22. Budget codes, contracts, Change Order Requests, Change Orders, Design Change Orders, Invoices, pay apps budget transfers, etc.

Q23. Does GCS have experience integrating Financial Systems with other softwares? If so please outline what integrations exist today.

A23. Technology Services does have experience.

Q24. Is Payroll currently managed through existing/current Financial System?

A24. Yes.

Q25. Please comment on what Scheduling tools/software GCS uses today for construction project management.

A25. MS Project

Q26. Please clarify/expand on what requirements are necessary for Scheduling regarding Quality feature set.

A26. The software should accommodate scheduling and records of quality assurance inspections for projects.

Q27. What data/information would need to be imported into CPMS to satisfy data import request?

A27. Financials and some attached spreadsheets.

Q28. What is the 1) Average annual construction value for GCS projects. 2) Expected construction value for projects by year for the next 3 years from start of vendor selection and implementation.

A28. Depends on the future bond ex. 2003 Bond was \$300+ million. The 2008 Bond was \$457 million.

Q29. Does the evaluation criteria award additional points for MWBE participation?

A29. Yes

Q30. What is the approved budget for the Construction Project Management System (services and licensing)? Is there a not to exceed budget figure?

A30. One has not been set yet.

Q31. Is the period of performance sought only 12 months, or is GCS looking for a multi-year contract? Please confirm for pricing consistency.

A31. Multi-year.

Q32. Can you describe in more detail what you expect the system to do to Automate accounts receivable, accounts payable, work order entry and payroll?

A32. Ultimately, it would generate information that goes to our finance department.

Q33. Scheduling - will you be doing scheduling or are you importing Contractor's schedules or both?

A33. Both

Q34. Does MWBE Program requirements include MBWE certified in NC or MWBE certified in other states also considered eligible for this RFP MWBE requirements

A34. Others also considered but we prefer to support local businesses

Q34. Does city already have any tool for integrating multiple enterprise applications like Gateway?

A34. No.

Q35. Does GCS already have any reporting tool or data warehouse? If so, please share.

A35. Just reporting from Oracle Primavera.

Q36. Is there any page limit to the Response?

A36. No.

Q37. Can a 1-week extension be granted since questions will not be answered until July 10th?

A37. Not currently.

Q38. Please confirm that Services support for any bugs post user acceptance (UAT), enhancement requests, application design change requests or new users training requests are not part of the Warranty definition.

A38. Confirmed. Except that "bugs post user acceptance (UAT)", we would expect that bugs would be cover under update under warranty.